The infrastructure moment

Core infrastructure's growing role in institutional portfolios

January 2017

IN BRIEF

- As a new asset class, infrastructure has proved itself over the last decade, establishing a strong track record that highlights its potential to enhance returns and mitigate risk as part of a broader portfolio.
- Furthermore, the expected total return for private infrastructure is now greater than the expected total return of a 60/40 portfolio, with income also representing a substantial component of the return from infrastructure.[1]
- In this paper we explore how private core infrastructure provides investors with the D-I-Y benefits of diversification, inflation protection and yield along with a strong focus on environmental, social and governance (ESG) principles.
- We also examine recent trends in valuations, discuss options for accessing infrastructure investments, and explain why—with institutional investors' average allocations still below target—the expansion of the asset class continues to provide opportunities for early movers.

AUTHORS

Serkan Bahçeci, PhD
Head of Infrastructure Research

Stephen Leh
Infrastructure Research

THE APPEAL OF CORE INFRASTRUCTURE

Institutional investors have been allocating a growing share of their portfolios to infrastructure assets—including regulated utilities, transportation and contracted power. The focus has been on core investment strategies, which can produce stable, forecastable cash flows through the use of prudent leverage and some combination of transparent and consistent regulatory environments, long-term contracts with credible counterparties, and mature demand profiles.

Most core infrastructure assets have monopolistic positions in the markets they serve, so prices and usage are relatively insensitive to periods of economic weakness. Instead, core infrastructure investments are driven by a different—and uncorrelated—set of factors, including political and regulatory risk, development risk, operational risk and leverage. Also, each core infrastructure sector has unique risk factors, so core strategies include investments from multiple sectors to reduce volatility within the asset class.

[1] J.P. Morgan Asset Management, 2017 Long-Term Capital Market Assumptions, 21st Annual Edition.

In today's challenging "everything is expensive" investment environment, with Barclays Aggregate yields well below their long-term averages and the S&P 500's price-to-earnings ratio above 20 (as of 7 December 2016), investors are increasingly turning to private core infrastructure for its "D-I-Y" benefits:

- (D) = diversification and low correlation to other asset classes
- (I) = inflation protection
- (Y) = yield with high and stable cash flows

DIVERSIFICATION: INFRASTRUCTURE CAN HELP TO REDUCE PORTFOLIO VOLATILITY

A key benefit of core infrastructure investments is their ability to provide relatively high total returns with low correlations to traditional asset classes, such as equities, fixed income and real estate. Consequently, an allocation to core infrastructure may reduce the volatility of an institutional portfolio and can potentially limit the maximum drawdown during times of market stress.

Many core infrastructure assets are natural monopolies by design. For some assets, such as regulated utilities, alternative providers are forbidden by the government. For others, such as transportation assets, alternative routes are often distant and time-consuming. The monopolistic position of these assets makes the demand for their services resilient to economic downturns and fluctuating prices.

Core infrastructure assets also utilise long-term contracts. Power generation assets can protect themselves from volatile power markets through long-term contracts with utilities, corporates or governments. The contracts, which can last as long as 30 years, establish very stable cash flows in return for the asset's availability and generation. Since core infrastructure investments have reduced exposure to market demand, their risk-return drivers are relatively uncorrelated with other asset classes (see **Exhibit 1**).

INFLATION: INFRASTRUCTURE CAN HELP TO PROTECT AGAINST RISING PRICES

An unexpected rise in inflation can be very costly to investors, so portfolios with a long-term focus should protect against rising prices even in low-inflation environments. This is particularly relevant today, as expectations for fiscal stimulus in the US, the post-Brexit depreciation of sterling, and a partial recovery in the price of oil have increased the likelihood that inflation could overshoot central banks' target levels.

Infrastructure investments—especially utilities and transport assets—can provide investors with protection when inflation unexpectedly rises. In many jurisdictions, particularly in Europe, end-user rates for regulated utilities are indexed to inflation. Where automatic adjustment does not exist, utilities can typically file new rate cases when their costs rise. Regulators have also allowed utilities to earn a greater return when inflation has been higher. **Exhibit 2** illustrates the positive correlation between inflation and the allowed return on equity (RoE) of US electric utilities, with a two-year lag to account for regulatory adjustment periods.

Core infrastructure return drivers differ from those of other asset classes

EXHIBIT 1: ASSET CLASS CORRELATIONS

2008-2015	Global equities	Global bonds	US core private real estate	Private equity	Hedge funds	Global listed infrastructure	Global core private infrastructure
Global equities	1.0						
Global bonds	-0.2	1.0					
US core private real estate	0.2	-0.2	1.0				
Private equity	0.9	-0.3	0.4	1.0			
Hedge funds	0.9	-0.3	0.1	0.9	1.0		
Global listed infrastructure	0.9	0.0	0.2	0.9	0.8	1.0	
Global core private infrastructure	-0.1	-0.2	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0

Source: Bloomberg, MSCI World Index for global equities, Barclays Global Aggregate Bond Index for global fixed income, NFI-ODCE for U.S. core private real estate; Burgiss for private equity, HFRI for hedge funds, S&P Global Infrastructure Index for global listed infrastructure, and MSCI Global Quarterly Infrastructure Asset Index for global core private infrastructure.[2] Data are quarterly from Q2-2008 through Q4-2015 (the full available range for the MSCI Infrastructure Index), and are denominated in local currency. Data as of December 2016.

[2] The MSCI Quarterly Infrastructure Asset Index is the first third-party return index in the private infrastructure space. It has a relatively small sample and a bias towards Australian assets, but it continues to evolve and is a good indicator of infrastructure performance.

Allowed RoE for US electric utilities has had a positive correlation to inflation over time

EXHIBIT 2: INFLATION VS. ALLOWED ROE FOR US ELECTRIC UTILITIES



Source: Bloomberg, SNL.com, America's Electric Utilities, J.P. Morgan Asset Management. Inflation=US consumer price index data from 1968 to 2013. Electric utility RoE data from 1970 to 2015. Data as of September 2016.

Revenues derived from transportation assets also tend to have strong inflation sensitivities. This is because revenues in the sector largely come from bilateral contracts with third parties, such as airlines and shipping lines, and these contracts typically include some form of inflation indexation. While inflation tracking is also common in power contracts across the developed world, the US is a prominent exception.

YIELD: INFRASTRUCTURE CAN PROVIDE HIGH AND STABLE CASH FLOWS

Core infrastructure's strong yield potential is a major reason why institutional investors are attracted to the asset class in today's low-yield environment. Yields on core infrastructure investments have been remarkably resilient, as forecastable cash flows, long economic lives and creditworthy counterparties have bolstered asset-level cash flows.

Exhibit 3 shows how infrastructure's stable yield has mitigated the fluctuations in value from capital appreciation. This was especially true during the global financial crisis of 2008/2009, when steady income helped insulate infrastructure investments from the stress in capital markets. Infrastructure's year-over-year total return was negative for only a single quarter, and the asset class' cumulative decline never topped 2%.

A stable yield has helped to mitigate fluctuations in capital value through changing markets

EXHIBIT 3: UNLISTED INFRASTRUCTURE RETURN FROM INCOME AND CAPITAL APPRECIATION



Source: MSCI Global Quarterly Infrastructure Asset Index, J.P. Morgan Asset Management. Data show rolling one-year returns from income and represent the index's full available timeline, from Q1-2009 onwards. Data as of December 2016.

INFRASTRUCTURE VALUES ARE INCREASING

Since the recovery from the financial crisis took hold in 2009/2010, valuation discount rates for core infrastructure assets have generally trended downwards. This is due, in part, to the broader decline in interest rates, which has caused all asset classes to look more attractive on a relative basis. **Exhibit 4** shows how the estimated discount rate for core infrastructure assets has decreased alongside government bond yields, keeping the equity risk premium relatively stable.

Infrastructure valuations have generally become more attractive as interest rates have fallen

EXHIBIT 4: ESTIMATES FOR CORE INFRASTRUCTURE DISCOUNT RATES VS. RISK-FREE PROXIES



Source: Bloomberg, J.P. Morgan Asset Management. Data as of December 2016.

Going forward, however, we expect the equity risk premium for core infrastructure investments to fall, regardless of changes in the cost of debt. Whereas equities are vulnerable to a slump in economic growth, and fixed income is vulnerable to rising rates, infrastructure has the ability to perform well in a wide range of economic environments.

Infrastructure, as an asset class, is slowly being institutionalised, and investors have been increasing their allocations accordingly. Valuation multiples should continue to benefit from new entrants to the market, as institutional investors remain focused on high yields and diversification. In Preqin's most recent survey, six times as many investors said they planned to increase their infrastructure exposure as planned to reduce it.[3] There also remains a tremendous need for infrastructure investment globally, and regulators and end users will need to offer incentives to attract and retain new capital.

Of course, individual infrastructure sectors and assets will perform differently over the coming years. Prudent due diligence and careful asset management are essential for strong financial performance, especially given the relatively inefficient investment environment. One important consideration is that large funds have more dry powder than ever before, and many of the biggest deals are now pricing at significantly lower discount rates than smaller, mid-market transactions. Return compression for these larger assets means that, while they may continue to perform well, there is less room for valuation multiples to increase further.

A STRONG COMMITMENT TO ESG

Infrastructure investing is inseparable from ESG principles, as infrastructure investors necessarily take a long-term view and so focus heavily on prudent and sustainable business practices. First and foremost, running a business and executing on a strategic vision require competent management, abetted by an independent and experienced board of directors. Successful infrastructure businesses tend to have a philosophy of continuous business improvements, reinforced through active asset management by a company's owners.

Infrastructure shareholders are responsible for implementing the structural changes needed to promote a company's long-term growth, rather than relying on discount rate fluctuations to paper over weak internal controls. That means infrastructure investors must promote board-level engagement and oversight, management accountability, transparency of performance, and ethical employee conduct.

Infrastructure investments are critical for the transition to an environmentally sustainable economy. The retirement of fossil fuel-based power plants is contingent on tremendous new investment in renewable energy. Significant up-front capital is also necessary to provide electricity networks with smart grid technology, to reduce water leakage, and to upgrade ports to promote energy efficiency and intermodal transport. As long-term owners, infrastructure investors cannot be blind to the future impact of climate change on individual assets and government policies.

Finally, infrastructure investments are in physical assets, and so have an inherently local component. Utilities have defined service areas, while power plants and transportation assets have tangible footprints. As a result, infrastructure investments depend on investors' relationships with local regulators, customers and communities. Through close attention to ESG principles, infrastructure investors not only encourage sustainable development, but can also bolster their own financial performance.

[3] "Preqin Investor Outlook Alternative Asset H2-2016," August 2016. Data as of June 2016.

OPEN-ENDED COMMINGLED FUNDS ARE MORE APPROPRIATE FOR CORE INFRASTRUCTURE

Commingled funds are the most common vehicle through which institutions invest in private infrastructure.[4] By pooling capital from many investors, commingled funds can provide greater diversification than a single institution might be able to create with its own capital. In addition, such funds obviate the need to build an internal team with the in-depth sector-specific knowledge necessary to acquire and manage infrastructure assets.

Commingled funds can be either closed-end or open-ended, with the two fund structures offering very different strategies, fee structures and investment horizons. In general, open-ended funds focus on the D-I-Y aspects of core and core-plus investments with longer investment horizons and somewhat lower fees. Ownership by long-term investors may accrue benefits for external stakeholders, and encourage favourable regulatory treatment. Open-ended funds also facilitate an ongoing relationship between investors and managers, and may present co-investment and direct investment opportunities to investors who are interested in focusing more on specific sectors and geographies. Closed-end funds, by contrast, tend to focus more on capital appreciation and asset development, with core-plus and opportunistic investments, shorter time horizons and higher fees (**Exhibit 5**).

Closed-end and open-ended options can offer very different strategies, fee structures and investment horizons

EXHIBIT 5: OPEN-ENDED VS. CLOSED-END INFRASTRUCTURE FUND COMPARISON

	Open-ended structures	Closed-end structures
Focus	Long-term structure better suited for core infrastructure investments	Shorter term structure better suited for development and turn-around transactions
Source of value	Emphasis on growing cash flows and yield	Emphasis on capital appreciation
Risk profile	Core to core-plus	Core-plus to opportunistic
Liquidity	Liquidity through redemption process with pre-determined frequency	Liquidity at the end of fund term via asset sales
Fees	Lower proportion linked to performance	Greater proportion linked to performance
Transparency	Known portfolio of assets with immediate yield profile	Blind pool of assets with exposure to the demand ramp-up phase
Discretion	Discretion on when to invest and divest assets	Capital deployed into new assets within pre-defined term periods
Diversification	Access to well-diversified, established portfolios	Diversification within fund-size and vintage-year investment opportunities

Source: J.P. Morgan Asset Management.

INVESTMENT IMPLICATIONS: RISING VALUATIONS COULD FAVOUR EARLY MOVERS

Core infrastructure is highly attractive to institutional investors, as it can provide diversification, inflation protection and yield through long-life assets, as well as relatively high expected total returns. As part of a broader portfolio, core infrastructure assets can also help to reduce volatility and mitigate losses during market downturns. However, investors should consider diversifying their infrastructure allocation in order to achieve a more stable yield and return.

In addition, increasing allocations to the asset class create a window of opportunity for early movers to benefit from rising valuations and enjoy additional capital appreciation potential. This is especially true for mid-market assets, which are still relatively inefficient with less competition. When accessing the mid-market, it is important for investors to select experienced managers with the ability to acquire and actively manage the assets prudently with the appropriate governance and incentives in place.

[4] While listed infrastructure vehicles do exist, they are highly correlated with the equity market and do not provide the diversification benefits typically associated with the infrastructure asset class. As a result, listed funds are not a primary vehicle through which investors access the asset class.

NEXT STEPS

For more information, contact your J.P. Morgan representative.

Important Disclaimer

Investing in foreign countries involves a greater degree of risk and increased volatility. Changes in currency exchange rates and differences in accounting and taxation policies in foreign countries can raise or lower returns. Also, some markets may not be as politically and economically stable. The risks associated with foreign securities may be increased in countries with less developed markets. These countries may have relatively unstable governments and less established market economies than developed countries. These countries may face greater social, economic, regulatory and political uncertainties. These risks make securities from less developed countries more volatile and less liquid than securities in more developed countries.

Equity securities are subject to "stock market risk". The price of equity securities may rise or fall because of changes in the broad market or changes in a company's financial condition, sometimes rapidly or unpredictably. **Fixed Income/Bonds** are subject to interest rate risks. Bond prices generally fall when interest rates rise.

The views contained herein are not to be taken as an advice or a recommendation to buy or sell any investment in any jurisdiction, nor is it a commitment from J.P. Morgan Asset Management or any of its subsidiaries to participate in any of the transactions mentioned herein. Any forecasts, figures, opinions or investment techniques and strategies set out are for information purposes only, based on certain assumptions and current market conditions and are subject to change without prior notice. All information presented herein is considered to be accurate at the time of writing. This material does not contain sufficient information to support an investment decision and it should not be relied upon by you in evaluating the merits of investing in any securities or products. In addition, users should make an independent assessment of the legal, regulatory, tax, credit, and accounting implications and determine, together with their own professional advisers, if any investment mentioned herein is believed to be suitable to their personal goals. Investors should ensure that they obtain all available relevant information before making any investment. It should be noted that investment involves risks, the value of investments and the income from them may fluctuate in accordance with market conditions and taxation agreements and investors may not get back the full amount invested. Both past performance and yield may not be a reliable guide to future performance.

J.P. Morgan Asset Management is the brand for the asset management business of JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its affiliates worldwide. This communication is issued by the following entities: in the United Kingdom by JPMorgan Asset Management (UK) Limited, which is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority; in other EEA jurisdictions by JPMorgan Asset Management (Europe) S.à r.l.; in Hong Kong by JF Asset Management Limited, or JPMorgan Funds (Asia) Limited, or JPMorgan Asset Management Real Assets (Asia) Limited; in Singapore by JPMorgan Asset Management (Singapore) Limited (Co. Reg. No. 197601586K), or JPMorgan Asset Management Real Assets (Singapore) Pte Ltd (Co. Reg. No. 201120355E); in Taiwan by JPMorgan Asset Management (Taiwan) Limited; in Japan by JPMorgan Asset Management (Japan) Limited which is a member of the Investment Trusts Association, Japan, the Japan Investment Advisers Association, Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association and the Japan Securities Dealers Association and is regulated by the Financial Services Agency (registration number "Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments Firm) No. 330"); in Korea by JPMorgan Asset Management (Korea) Company Limited; in Australia to wholesale clients only as defined in section 761A and 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) by JPMorgan Asset Management (Australia) Limited (ABN 55143832080) (AFSL 376919); in Brazil by Banco J.P. Morgan S.A.; in Canada for institutional clients' use only by JPMorgan Asset Management (Canada) Inc., and in the United States by JPMorgan Distribution Services Inc. and J.P. Morgan Institutional Investments, Inc., both members of FINRA/SIPC.; and J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc.
In APAC, distribution is for Hong Kong, Taiwan, Japan and Singapore. For all other countries in APAC, to intended recipients only.

Copyright 2017 JPMorgan Chase & Co. All rights reserved.

4d03c02a80041f9b

LV-JPM34794 | 01/17